פרק ב פסוק יג

וַיֵּצֵא בּיָּוֹם הַשֵּׁנִי וְהָנֵּה שְׁנֵי־אֲנָשִׁים עִבְרָים נִצָּים וַיּאמֶר לְרָשָׁע לָמָה תַכָּה רֵעָדָ:

רש"י

ַלְמָה תַכֶּה: אַף עַל פִּי שֶׁלֹּא הִכָּהוּ נִקְרָא רָשָׁע בַּהְרָמַת יִד.

מושג

כָּל הַמַּגְבִּיהַ יָדוֹ עַל חֲבֵרוֹ אַף עַל פִּי שֶׁלֹא הִכָּהוּ נִקְרָא רָשָׁע. (סנהדרין נח:)

#### **Translation:**

All who raise a hand on their fellow, even if he does not hit him, he is called a רשע.

#### **Explanation:**

Each person is created בצלם אלקים, in the image of Hashem. This fact is the source for true human dignity. Hitting or threatening a person would not be possible if one were to fully appreciate the image of Hashem in that person. Moshe felt it important to remind the Jewish people of this fact, especially at a time when the Egyptians were trying to erase any sense of human dignity the Jews might possess<sup>1</sup>. If the Jews were to lose this sense, it would be impossible to free them, for they would remain forever enslaved by their slave mentality<sup>2</sup>. Moshe's first lesson to them is that they had not lost this this and therefore even threatining violence is sufficient to be termed a vo.

### Looking in the Pasuk:

There are two seemingly contradictory words in the Pasuk which lead הז"ל to learn our Musag from this Pasuk<sup>3</sup>. First, the Pasuk tells us that they were נצים and did not say נצים. The difference between the two is that רבים may refer to two people arguing, while נצים means they had come to blows. If so, Moshe should have said למה הכית, why did you hit. The words למה הכית infer he is about to hit, but has not yet hit, which would be inconsistent with the word נצים. To this הז"ל answer that Moshe was informing the person who had hit that from the time he had raised his hand to hit he was already considered a רשע.

## **Musag Learning Outcomes:**

<u>Know</u>: Even threatening to hit another is forbidden and results in one being termed a רשע.

<u>Understand</u>: Hitting another is forbidden as it causes suffering and monetary loss. However, even threatining violence is also forbidden as it ignores the צלם אלקים which each person possesses. Reminding the people that despite the slavery they still retained this G-dly image was Moshe's first lesson the the Jewish people.

<u>Think</u>: Focusing on the use of unique words (רבים and not רבים), and the correct tense of verbs (הכית and הכית , reveal the important lesson taught by our Musag.

<sup>1</sup> See note #1

<sup>2</sup> See note #2

<sup>3</sup> See note #3

# NOTES

1. One might ask why is this lesson taught here in this fashion? Would it not have been more appropriate for the Torah to teach me this important law clearly in כרשת משפטים? Why choose this story to infer this law? This question becomes even stronger when we notice that this law is not simply a suggestion for righteous behavior, but rather it is codified as a forbidden act by both by the Rambam and by the Shulchan Aruch. And, finally, when we realize that this is the *first* law taught by Moshe to the Jewish people<sup>4</sup>, we must stop and wonder why.

Moshe felt in necessary for this to be the first lesson taught to the Jewish people. This was especially important due to the fact that the Egyptians had tried to completely destroy any semblance of human dignity the Jews might have had. The process of de-humanization was clear and systematic as outlined by the Ramban<sup>7</sup>. Moshe realized that there was a connection between the fact that the previous day he had seen an Egyptian beating a Jew, and the next day two Jews were beating each other. Usually, a common enemy forges unity, not confrontation! But, Moshe realized, if one Jew was striking another under these circumstances it was because the Egyptians, through their beatings and enslavement, had succeeded in erasing the dignity the Jews should posses due to their beatings. Therefore, Moshe sought to restore the dignity they had lost. His first lesson was that even a threat to harm another is forbidden and the sign of a אילקים, for it denies the godliness of your fellow. According to the above we now understand a statement made by the Gemoroh in Sanhedrin immediately preceding the teaching of our Musag. The Gemoroh states: איז הסוטר לועו של שכינה<sup>8</sup> שנאמר (משלי כ:ה) מוֹקָשׁ אָדָם יָלַע קׂדָשׁ.

2. Commentators use this idea to explain a puzzling passage in the הגדה של פסח. At the beginning of the Haggadah we read:

ואילו לא הוציא הקב"ה את אבותינו ממצרים הרי אנו ובנינו ובני בנינו משועבדים היינו לפרעה במצרים.

What bothers the commentators is that we do not find in all of history any nation that has remained enslaved forever. Eventually, every nation will be set free. There are many answers given, one of which claims that while it is true that every nation would eventually leave slavery, they would retain a slave mentality. This is what is meant by משועבדים היינו לפרעה; not עבדים, actually enslaved, but מגיד that מגיד that slave mentality. This is why we mention in the ברכה towards the end of משועבים

<sup>4</sup> While it is not clear if Moshe called him a רשע or if the Torah calls him a רשע, the question remains.

<sup>5</sup> That is to say that actually causing bodily harm is not the only problem with striking another. The damage, pain, etc. that is caused is dealt with in the laws of damages. But there is an additional dimension of sin, which is the ignoring of the image of G-d present in the other. This sin is present in one who actually strikes or in one who threatens to strike.

<sup>7</sup> And copied by the Nazis, w"ש, during the Holocaust.

<sup>8</sup> The same sentiment is echoed in the Midrash which parallels the first and sixth commandment, 'לא אנכי ה' with לא The Midrash explains that killing a person is tantamount to defacing a statue of the king.

we thank Hashem על גאולתנו ועל פדות נפשנו. The physical freedom is our גאולה, while the lifting of the slave mentality and elevating of our spirit is the פדות נפשנו.

This idea explains an additional difficult episode in the Torah. When Moshe stands by the output and Hashem reveals to Moshe that the people are to be freed, Hashem also tells him that they will not leave empty handed. It seems strange that Hashem would tell Moshe this at this time. In fact, the Midrash relates that Moshe himself told Hashem, "Let us just leave the bondage, we can worry about wealth later!" Why was it indeed so important for Hashem to relate this to Moshe right away. Also, we find that Hashem reminds Moshe again, right before they are about to leave Mitzrayim, that the people must leave with great wealth. Why is this so terribly important? The answer is because if the people were to leave as freed slaves they would never be able to elevate themselves to the Dave. It was, therefore, imperative that they leave as free men, feeling they had been compensated for the years of bondage.

3. Rashi's source is the Gemoroh in (נח:) מסכת סנהדרין אסכת שאוch states:

אמר ריש לקיש: המגביה ידו על חבירו, אף על פי שלא הכהו נקרא רשע, שנאמר ויאמר לרשע למה תכה רעך , למה הכית אמר ריש לקיש: המגביה ידו על חבירו, אף על פי שלא הכהו נקרא רשע.

The commentators wonder how the Gemoroh knew that the fact that he raised his hand to hit his fellow is the reason he is called a רשע? Perhaps Moshe knew him to be a רשע from beforehand, just as Moshe called his friend a רשע? Perhaps Moshe knew him to be a hand to strike! The Malbim explains the word ביצים, which Rashi explains simply as רבים, fighting, is referring to a fight which has come to blows<sup>10</sup>. If indeed the two Jews had actually hit each other then why does Moshe not scold them for hitting each other, למה הכית, which is surely more severe than just intending to hit? Why, therefore, does Moshe only criticize them for threatening to hit, הכה, The answer, explains the Gemoroh, is that Moshe was telling them that from the moment he raised his hand to hit he was already considered a row.

4. Questions for further thought:

a. Can you think of any other actions Hashem or Moshe took to ensure the people would leave without a slave mentality?

b. The Gemoroh in (לג:) קידושין teaches of another who is called a רשע:

<sup>9</sup> As Rashi comments (based on the Midrash Rabba) on the words את רעך, that he is רשע כמותך.

<sup>10</sup> The wording of the Pasuk in (כה:י) דברים seems to support his contention: