פּרק טז פּסוק א וְשָׁרַיֹ אֵישֶׁת אַכְרָם לָא יָלְדָה לְוֹ וְלֶה שִׁפְתָה מִצְרָית וּשְׁמָה הָגֶר: חז״ל מִפְּנֵי מֵה הָיוּ אֲבוֹתֵינוּ עֲקוּרִים? מִפְּנֵי שהקב"ה מִתְאוֶה לְתִפּלֶתָן שֶׁל צַדִּיקִים (יבמות סד.) מושג הַקָּדוֹשׁ בָּרוּדְ הוּא מִתְאַוֶּה לְתִפּלֶתָן שֶׁל צַדִּיקִים

Translation: Hashem desires the Tefilos of Tzaddikim.

Explanation: When we daven or make a ברכה what we are actually doing is acknowledging that we know that Hashem is the source of all blessing and good. Even if one is worthy of being blessed by Hashem, He will not bless them until they daven and demonstrate that they recognize that He is the source of the blessing. This recognition is הכרת הטוב which allows Hashem to bless us. To teach this important lesson, Hashem did not give the Avos children until they davened for them. Since Hashem, who is שטו, wants to provide us with blessing, He desires our Tefilah which allows him to do so.

**Looking in the Pasuk:** This Musag comes to explain why we find that all of our אמהות had trouble having children. By all the אבות ואמהות, with the exception of לאה, the Torah tells us that they were <sup>1</sup>עקרות, this certainly was no coincidence<sup>2</sup>.

שרה - יא:ל וַתְּהִי שָׂרֵי עֲקָרָה אֵין לָה וָלָד: 1

<sup>:...</sup>אָשָׁתּו נִיֶּעְתַּר יִצְחָק לַי-הוָה לְנֹכַח אִשְׁתּוֹ כִּי עֲקָרָה הָוא...

רחל – כט:לא וַיַּרְא יְ-הוָה כִּי־שְׂנוּאָה לֵאָה וַיִּפְתַּח אֶת־רַחְמָה וְרָחֵל עֲקָרָה:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The Gemoroh quoted above teaches that יצחק and possibly אברהם were also עקורים.

## NOTES

A simple interpretation of the Musag would have us understand that Hashem makes the wives of Tzaddikim barren because he desires to hear their prayers. However, it is difficult to understand why Hashem would cause anguish to the wives of צדיקים so that He could hear their their neer their makes the were to explain that we should not interpret the Musag to mean that Hashem made them barren to hear their that Hashem that Hashem did not immediately heal them<sup>3</sup>, even though they were deserving, so that He could hear their neer their neer their makes the would still find it difficult to justify the seemingly needless suffering.

We must begin by trying to understand why Hashem 'desires' the Tefilos of the צדיקים. Would it not be sufficient to say Hashem listens to their הפילות and answers their requests? The wording of מתאוה makes it seem as if Hashem has a need that can only be fulfilled by the תפילה. How are we to understand this need?

Many times we use words that describe concepts which we do not fully comprehend. One perfect example is the concept of making a ברכה, making a blessing. How exactly do we make a ברכה? What do we mean when we say 'ברוך אתה ה' Are we truly blessing G-d? And, does Hashem need our blessing? We might take the question a step further and ask not only why do we need to bless Hashem, but why even daven to Him? Doesn't He know what we need and if we are worthy? Does Hashem need us, our , and our prevention.

The מפרשים explain that we, as physical beings, cannot ever truly understand G-d. We are, however, provided with a glimpse into the Divine through the מידות – the characteristics – with which Hashem has revealed Himself to us. One of these central characteristics is that He is טוב שוב, Hashem is good. However, there is a catch. For the characteristic of שוב is expressed by being to others. Therefore, if we are not worthy of G-d's goodness, then He cannot express His מידת הטוב. In effect, our lack of worthiness of Hashem's blessing hinders G-d's ability to be a מידת הטוב, thus causing a 'weakness' in His מידה 100.

We might have thought that worthiness of Hashem's blessing is based solely on heeding His commandments: doing מצות and refraining from doing עבירות. But this is not so. There is another factor on which all הכרת הטוב are contingent: הכרת הטוב, recognizing and acknowledging Hashem's beneficence.

This idea is beautifully illustrated by Rashi in פרשת בראשית, who quotes a גמרא which also uses our Musag. What makes the Rashi truly unique is that Rashi does not simply quote or sum up the גמרא, but adds a fascinating twist to the גמרא's words. The (הולין ס.) comes to explain why the Torah first teaches that vegetation began to sprout on the third day of creation, but afterwards seems to teach that this actually took place only on the sixth day:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> In essence we would explain the Musag to mean: Why did the wives of the Avos *remain* barren? This interpretation would go well with the (שם) אמרה which teaches that שרה was an was physically unable to have children.

רב אסי רמי(הביא סתירה) כתיב: (בראשית א׳) ותוצא הארץ דשא, בתלת בשבתא (בשלישי בשבת), וכתיב, (בראשית ב׳) וכל שיח השדה טרם יהיה בארץ, במעלי שבתא (ערב שבת)! מלמד שיצאו דשאים ועמדו על פתח קרקע, עד שבא אדם הראשון ובקש עליהם רחמים, וירדו גשמים וצמחו, ללמדך שהקב״ה מתאוה לתפלתן של צדיקים.

The גמרא answers that the vegetation was ready to sprout forth on the third day but had to wait for the creation of man on the sixth day. Only when man davened for the vegetation could the rain fall and the vegetation grow forth.

Rashi quotes the גמרא, but pay attention to the exact wording of his commentary:

כָל עֵשֶׁב הַשָּׁדָה טֶרָם יִצְמָח. עֲדַיָן לא צָמַח, וּבַג׳ שֶׁבָּתוּב ״וַתּוֹצֵא הָאָרָץ״ (לעיל אי:ב), לא יָצָאוּ, אֶלָּא עַל פָּתח הַקָּרְקַע עָמְדוּ עַד יוֹם ו׳ (ס״א: וְלָמָה): כִּי לֹא הִמְטִיר. וּמַאי טַעֲמָא ״לֹא הִמְטִיר״, לְפִי שֶׁ״אָדָם אַיִן לַעֲבוֹד אֶת הָאָדָמָה״, וְאֵין מַכִּיר בְּטוֹבָתָן שֶׁל גְּשָׁמִים, וּכְשָׁבָּא אָדָם וְיָדַע שָׁהַם צוֹרֶף לָעוֹלָם, הִתְפַּלַל עֲלִיהָם וְיָרִדוּ, וְצָמְחוּ הָאִילָנוֹת וְהַדְּשָׁאים. שָׁמוּב גמרא seems to teach that man davened for the vegetation to break through, Rashi understands differently: that man davened for the rain. While we could explain Rashi's novel interpretation on the wording of the Pasuk, which seems to support Rashi's focus on the rain:

ַוְכֹל שִׁים הַשָּׁדֶה טֶרֶם יִהְיֶה בָאָרֶץ וְכָל־עֵשֶׁב הַשָּׂדֶה טֶרֶם יִצְמָח כִּי לֹא הִמְטִיר ה׳ אֱלֹקים עַל־הָאָרֶץ וְאָדָם אַין וכו׳ I believe Rashi is touching upon a deeper point and trying to explain the last line of the גמרא: הקב״ה מתאוה לתפילתן של צדיקים.

As we asked above, Rashi wondered why G-d should have to wait for man to daven. Rashi came to the conclusion, mentioned above, that G-d will not bless man unless man is מכיר טוב. While there is little concern that man will not thank G-d for the food He provides directly, there is definitely a concern that man will not sufficiently thank Hashem for all the things that are needed to help the food to appear.<sup>4</sup> Therefore, explains Rashi, the Torah tells us that G-d did not let the vegetation appear until man prayed for rain. Since rain is necessary for growth, and man might not be מכיר טוב to Hashem for the rain, G-d could not provide rain until man davened and thereby demonstrated his הכרת הטוב .

This is the meaning of a ברכה. We are not blessing G-d, but rather acknowledging that He is the source of all blessing! Once we do this, and thus demonstrate our הכרת הטוב, we allow for Him to shower His blessings upon us<sup>5</sup>. And so too every Tefilah. When we daven for a sick person, for

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> This is an incredibly important point. When we speak of being appreciative, הכרת הטוב הדייש termed it הכרת הטוב. We might ask why we call it הכרת הטוב, recognizing good. Is recognizing the good sufficient? Should we not call it החזרת הטוב, thanking for the good? If someone simply recognizes the good but does not act in any way on that recognition, have they indeed fulfilled their moral obligation?

The answer is that it is completely natural for one who has received something from another to feel a need to return the favor. Giving to another immediately creates a feeling of indebtedness. If one chooses not to return the favor, he must first minimize the good which he has received, otherwise his feelings of indebtedness will simply not allow him to ignore the favor. Therefore, <code>\pi\_n</code>, placed the focus on recognizing the good. Once one truly recognizes all the good that has been done for him, repaying that good is sure to follow.

A perfect example of the above is if one prepares a meal for a family. They would surely be indebted for the food itself. However, true הכרת הטוב would include feeling indebted for the time it took to shop for and prepare the food etc. Many times we do exactly the opposite. We say, "It wasn't such a big deal, after all they were making dinner anyway!" In order to not feel so indebted we choose to minimize rather than maximize the good others have done for us.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> This explains the ברכה (לה:) גמרא which considers one who eats without making a ברכה as if they have stolen the food: כל הנהנה מן העולם הזה בלי ברכה כאילו גוזל להקב״ה וכנסת ישראל. If so, should making a ברכה change this? The answer is that when one takes from the world he is stealing that object, as he does not own nature. However, by making a ברכה he allows for Hashem's blessing to come and thus replace that which he has taken.

example, we are not simply informing G-d that the person is ill and needs a רפואה; G-d already knows that. What we are saying is that if that person is to get well we realize that it is because Hashem will heal them. Tefilah thus does not try to change G-d, but rather to change Man, making us more cognizant that Hashem controls our well-being, and we must act accordingly. For this reason we do not say להתפלל but לפלל but לפלל, something that is to be internalized and self-changing.

This is also the meaning of הקב״ה מתאוה לתפילתן של צדיקים. G-d does truly desire our Tefilos. For only when we daven and demonstrate that we are מכיר טוב can Hashem continue to bless us and actualize His מדת הטוב. For this reason Hashem kept the vegetation waiting until man could come and daven for rain. For this reason Hashem kept the vegetation waiting and Tefilos of the Tzaddikim Hashem teaches the world an incredibly important lesson: No blessings are possible without Hashem's will and Hashem's will is contingent on our acknowledgement of this fact. Even Tzaddikim, though surely deserving of blessing because of their good deeds, will only receive blessings when they demonstrate the Tefilos of Tzaddikim not because they bless Him, but rather because they allow Him to bless us.

Questions for Further Thought:

- a. As mentioned above (in footnote #1) all the אמהות were עקרות except for לאה. Why do you think she was different?
- b. Why do you think Hashem will only bless us if we are מכיר טוב? If Hashem is truly good why not bless us whether we are deserving or not?