פרק א פסוק יב

ּ וְכַאֲשֶׁר ֹיְעַנַּוּ אֹתוֹ בֵּן יִרְבֶּה וְכֵן יִפְרָץ וַיָּלֵצוּ מִפְּגַי בְּגַי יִשְׂרָאֵלי

רש"י

וְכַאֲשֶׁר יְעַנּוּ אֹתוֹ. בְּכָל מַה שֶׁהֵם נוֹתְנִין לֵב לְעַנּוֹת כֵּן לֵב הַקָּדוֹשׁ בָּרוּדְ הוּא לְהַרְבּוֹת וּלְהַפְרִיץ: כֵּן יִרְבֶּה. כֵּן רָבָה וְכֵן פָּרַץ. וּמִדְרָשׁוֹ, רוּחַ הַקֹּדֶשׁ אוֹמֶרֶת כֵּן. אַתֶּם אוֹמְרִים "פֶּן יִרְבֶּה" וַאֲנִי אוֹמֵר "כֵּן יִרְבֶּה".

מושג

רוּם הַלְדֶשׁ אוֹמֶרֶת כֵּן. אַתֶּם אוֹמְרִים "פֶּן יִרְבֶּה" וַאֲנִי אוֹמֵר "כֵּן יִרְבֶּה".

Translation:

Ruach HaKodesh is saying: You say "Lest they multiply", I say, "Yes, they will multiply.

Explanation:

The Egyptians thought they could outsmart the Jews by enslaving them. They thought that by making the Jews suffer they would cause the Jews to decrease in number. Hashem is telling the Egyptians that no matter what your plans, and in fact precisely *because* of them, the Jews will continue to grow¹.

Looking in the Pasuk:

The Chazal that Rashi quotes is bothered by two issues. First, how exactly do we translate the word correctly for the same the word means 'so'², meaning by the same measure, then the following word ירבה should be in the past tense רבה³ and not in the future tense, ירבה.

Rashi brings two answers. The first answer says that the above translation is correct. Rashi explains, "In the same way they intended to inflict suffering, so did Hashem intend to increase them". As for the tense of the following words ירבה, יפרוץ, Rashi answers that they should be read in the past tense as if it was written ארבה ופרץ.

Rashi, in his second comment (ומדרשו) quotes a Midrash Aggadah which says that the word עס does not mean 'so' but rather 'yes'. The Pasuk is not telling us that they grew in measure to their suffering, but rather it is Hashem answering the Egyptians who said c vren to which Hashem answered, ירבה⁵.

Musag Learning Outcomes:

<u>Know</u>: Possible meanings of word c and possible understandings of future tense verbs. <u>Understand</u>: How Hashem ensures that the actions of our enemies have exactly the opposite of their planned effect.

<u>Think</u>: Analyzing Rashi to understand differences in multiple explanations (מדרשו and מדרשו).

¹ See note #1

^{2 &}quot;As they inflicted it so did it increase..."

³ Because if we are talking about a measure for a measure it would be nonsensical to put the Pasuk in the future tense.

⁴ Here the future tense should be read as a present tense, they did increase, not they will increase.

NOTES

1. This theme is repeated many times in Chazal. Yisro tells Moshe (יתרו יה:יא עָהָה יָדַעָהִי כִּי־גָדוֹל ה' (יתרו יה:יא). אי עַהָה יָדַעָהָים כִּי בַדָּבָר אֲשֶׁר וָדוּ עֲלִיהָם: The Talmud in Meseches Sotah (11a) has a unique understanding of what מִכָּל־הָאֱלֹהִים כִּי בַדְּבָר אֲשֶׁר וָדוּ עַלִיהָם. The Talmud in Meseches Sotah (11a) has a unique understanding of what מו שר means. While we would have thought the word דו עליהם the act with intention (such as the term מויד means intentionally) the Gemoroh explains: געביליה שבישלו בה נתבשלו בה נתבשלו (The Gemoroh explains: געבייה, *In the pot in which they cooked, they themselves were cooked.* (The Gemoroh explains that the word is is to be compared to the meaning of cooking as we find by וויז יעקב נויד).

There is a beautiful כלי יקר אשר לא ידע את יוסף which explains this to be the meaning of אשר לא ידע את יוסף. Yosef's brothers did all they could to rid themselves of Yosef, and thought that their actions would lead to destroying his dreams. Only later did they realize that everything they did to destroy him was actually helping his dreams come true. Pharaoh did not take heed to the story of Yosef. If he did, he would have realized that everything he was doing would only play into the hands of Hashem. In effect, he was digging his own grave.

2. Rashi's second explanation is found in the 6 מדרש אגדה on the words פן ירבה. It reads:

אמרה להם רוח הקודש אתם אומרים פן ירבה, ואני אומר כן ירבה וכן יפרוץ It is interesting to note the use of the term רוח הקודש instead of הקב"ה. It is possible to explain that the intention of the Midrash was not simply to employ a play on the words כן but rather to drive home the point stressed in Note #1. Every act the Egyptians do to enslave and destroy the Jews will have the exact opposite effect. Hashem is telling us how to understand their words. They are saying as if they had רוח הקודש but it is as if they had רוח הקודש.

3. Questions for further thought:

a. Can you think of other instances in history where the plans of רשעים were turned into the salvation of the Jews?

b. At the beginning of Note #1 we brought a Pasuk in which Yisro says, עתה ידעתי כי גדול שליהם' אשר זדו עליהם', <u>Now</u> I know that Hashem is great... because the thing they planned was brought on them". Why was the fact that בדבר אשר זדו עליהם that which brought Yisro to this realization?

⁶ Many Chumashim attribute Rashi's second explanation to the Gemoroh in Sotah. It is not found there but in the מדרש אגדה.